

MENTORING

A to Z



JULIE TODARO



AN IMPRINT OF THE AMERICAN LIBRARY ASSOCIATION
CHICAGO • 2015

www.alastore.ala.org

JULIE TODARO is the dean of library services at Austin Community College in Austin, Texas. She is also a management consultant and frequent workshop presenter. Her research and publications are focused on the twenty-first-century management of nonprofit environments and include best practices in human resources, customer service, and emergency preparedness. Todaro earned her master's degree in library and information science from The University of Texas at Austin and her PhD in library service from Columbia University, New York.

© 2015 by the American Library Association

Extensive effort has gone into ensuring the reliability of the information in this book; however, the publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

ISBN: 978-0-8389-1329-1 (paper)

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Todaro, Julie, 1950–

Mentoring A to Z / Julie Todaro.

pages cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-0-8389-1329-1 (print : alk. paper) 1. Mentoring in library science. I. Title.

Z668.5.T627 2015

020.71'55–dc23

2015001377

Cover design by Alejandra Diaz. Imagery © Shutterstock, Inc.

Text design and composition by Neuwirth & Associates in the ITC New Baskerville STD and Avenir Next LT Pro typefaces.

© This paper meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48–1992 (Permanence of Paper).

Printed in the United States of America

19 18 17 16 15

5 4 3 2 1

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	ix
<i>Introduction</i>	xi
Chapter 1 What's in a Name?	1
Chapter 2 The Breadth of Twenty-First-Century Mentoring	27
Chapter 3 The Value and Benefits of Mentoring	45
Chapter 4 Designing and Implementing Mentorship Programs and Processes	53
Chapter 5 Mentorship Education and Training	65
Chapter 6 Mentor and Mentee Issues	75
Chapter 7 Best Practices for Mentorship Program Measurement and Evaluation	87
<i>Appendixes</i>	97
A: Program Planning	101
B: Job Descriptions	104
C: Goals/Outcomes	110
D: Checklists	113

E: Correspondence	118
F: Application Forms	121
G: Recommendation Forms	127
H: Evaluation Content	128
<i>Bibliography and Resources</i>	131
<i>Index</i>	143

PREFACE

WHILE THE CONCEPT of being a mentor and mentoring others goes back—literally—hundreds of years, mentoring in the business world has come and gone in popularity but has enjoyed a huge resurgence of interest and use in the last decade. The reasons for this are many, and while most would like to believe that increased breadth and depth of usage is due to the value of the mentoring process above all other aspects, the reality is that many use mentorship instead of, as well as alongside, staff development. Mentorship is used as part of or in place of significant orientation and for acculturation of individuals to new or changing programs. In addition, contemporary mentoring programs are used as infrastructures for succession planning to ease transitions and ensure continued policies and processes during times of change. While none of those reasons are bad or inappropriate ones for using mentoring, those interested in implementing either single-mentor relationships or even expansive mentorship programs should study the whys of mentoring, the hows of mentors and mentees, and the benefits as well as the negative aspects of mentoring.

That being said, all employees or members (whether they are mentors, mentees, or neither) in an organization, association, or institution should be familiar with the vision, outcomes, and practice of mentoring as well as the application of mentorship policies and processes. If the concept is vetted and determined to fit the situation, *all* individuals should become knowledgeable about the positive and negative aspects of mentoring as well as what it does do, doesn't do, can do, and won't do. This book attempts to give that information as well as tackle the harder issues such as the big

PREFACE

successes *and* the big failures of mentoring, as well as the mistakes and best practices of mentoring.

Mentoring A to Z takes a look at the process of mentoring as a successful means of growing and building individuals and organizations, institutions, and associations in virtual and actual environments, in both the long and short term and for both classic job responsibilities and special relationships.

INTRODUCTION

WORK, ACTIVITIES, AND functions at “work,” work in associations and work for other purposes (church, hobbies, and so on) consume much of our time. In fact, when the number of hours we spend “working” in all of these other areas is added up, we spend more time with others outside our family or friends “at work” than we do *with* our family and friends. It is critical, therefore, to explore, design, build, and even perfect these relationships within these other environments and areas in order to have the best possible experiences.

One successful process used in designing and building relationships is the process of identifying activities and contacts outside the normal management and leadership structures that can lead to successful relationships and thus result in enhanced work and expanded commitment to the organization. This process—“mentorship”—is realized through a variety of approaches.

This book attempts to cover the widest variety and broadest of definitions of these approaches, including the classic mentor techniques and processes individuals and groups today use to develop interest and talent in others. These techniques and processes used for mentoring teach management competencies for enhancing or expanding work relationships; developing mentees to build organizations by fostering leadership skills and abilities; and using mentor practices to increase positive work culture as well as knowledge bases for all employees to excel at work. Mentoring also helps mentees to move into higher-level functional or discipline-specific or management positions. In addition, using the concepts of mentoring in organizations is designed to not only increase retention of

INTRODUCTION

employees but also to sustain members through encouraging their becoming committed, active association or organization members and member leaders.

Although some mentorship content techniques and processes outlined in the book aren't new, they are presented in expanded ways (case methods, best practices, critical questions to challenge suppositions, and checklists). These techniques and processes provide newer approaches to designing both virtual and in-person mentorship programs; choosing and educating mentors; critical elements of mentorship curriculum; and choosing, educating, and "growing" mentees. Choosing what's right for anyone or any one group includes the review of all techniques and processes, the needs assessment of a workplace or group, and a match of identified needs with appropriate mentoring techniques and processes.

The chapters in this book have both general information and information by type and size of library, and each chapter includes one or more techniques used to illustrate or display content such as a critical question and answer, a case or scenario, or a grid or checklist that can be used for assessment and evaluation.

The content in chapters includes a mentorship overview and definitions; job descriptions with roles and responsibilities; mentor and mentee styles and profiles, with examples including unique aspects such as gender, age, culture, race, ethnicity, and classic aspects of mentor and mentee relationships; twenty-first-century aspects of mentor and mentee relationships; benefits and liabilities of relationships; curricula for mentors and mentees' orientation and training; best practices; and bad news of mentorship mistakes, pitfalls, and hazards. In addition, the book's appendixes include expanded content for internal assessment, examples of programs with both internal and external mentors and mentees, special project approaches, mentor and mentee evaluations, and recommended communication plans.



WHAT'S IN A NAME?

FOR MANY PEOPLE and projects, names are everything. What something is called or identified as can project fame and fortune, indicate levels of importance, or at the very least, status; drive or imply costs; “brand” a project or environment; represent time; identify goals or outcomes; and illustrate worth or value. So, while seemingly unimportant, the identification of mentoring, mentors, and mentees needs to be thoughtfully considered. Obviously, many names are derived from what mentorship in general is, and although twenty-first-century mentorship is the focus of this book, background information on mentorship includes the following.

- There are more formal mentoring programs in academic institutions, and therefore in more libraries in academic institutions. Many terms, therefore, are derived from educational settings.
- Many associations have mentor programs to advance member involvement in and commitment to the association—primarily with a focus on leadership and leadership activities to grow organizational leaders. Leadership content is often used in defining not only mentor terminology, but the general curriculum for mentors and mentees in all programs.

This applies especially to mentors, because their primary responsibility is to lead others.

- A number of mentoring programs exist—both informal and formal—for other types of librarians and library workers, including those in K–12 schools, special libraries, and public libraries. Many of these mentoring programs, however, reside in the associations or organizations that serve or support these environments due to the size of the institution, the number of staff, and the lack of critical mass of people available not only to plan but also to mentor.
- A larger number of short-term mentor possibilities with some longer-term mentoring processes exist in mentorship relationships today. Many identifiers are for time lines and timeliness, because time can often drive mentoring relationships.
- Mentoring processes to complement education programs represent a growing number of mentorship opportunities in both practice and in educational settings. These use faculty in teaching roles and include typically an educational offering (one day, one week, and so on) with a cohort of learners in mentorship roles and continuing education activity. The terminology for many mentor programs characterized by these activities often includes educational terms and designates not only cohorts but time lines for following up on teaching and learning.
- Many newer mentorship programs have been designed to provide online-only graduate library programs opportunities for online-only students. The terminology for online mentor programs will typically use terms to illustrate the digital or virtual nature of the program and specifically program communication.
- Many more mentorship programs and processes exist for librarians, managers, administrators, and leaders rather than for support staff, non-librarians, or board or stakeholder group members.
- Mentoring programs substituting for processes libraries cannot fund or fully fund such as staff development and training

and professional development for individuals are often wrapped into mentorship goals and outcomes. Many organizations use the terms of activities they can't afford as names or subtitles under mentorship program articulation.

• **CASE METHOD** •

"BUT WHAT ABOUT ME?"

Although Frankie participated in the focus groups and initial design phase of the library's mentorship program, she was surprised at how she felt when the mentee's name was announced and the roles and responsibilities of both the mentee and Frankie, the mentor, were outlined. As she assessed her calendar and her department's time plan with the mentee, she wondered at how this position would benefit her and her area—outreach. For general guidance, but also specific answers to her questions, she decided to e-mail her manager and ask for a meeting about "integrating the proposed mentee training" into her already busy and overbooked schedule. She knew she had to participate in orienting new mentees; however, she was wondering how her time spent would benefit her busy department.

Case Steps/Exploration

In using case method, readers are asked to repeat some steps after assessing what they have read. Readers then illustrate that assessment by marking text to guide case exploration of content.

1. Read the mentorship assignment, or case, thoroughly without underlining or noting case elements. Take no immediate position or role. Then, during the second reading, note elements of the case by underlining or circling case individuals or "characters," case facts as stated, case suppositions, and implied as well as clearly stated issues and actual, perceived, or possible problems.

“BUT WHAT ABOUT ME?”

Although Frankie participated in the focus groups and initial design phase of the library’s mentorship program, she was surprised at how she felt when the mentee’s name was announced and the roles and responsibilities of both the mentee and Frankie, the mentor, were outlined. As she assessed her calendar and her department’s time plan with the mentee, she wondered at how this position would benefit her and her area–outreach. For general guidance, but also specific answers to her questions, she decided to e-mail her manager and ask for a meeting about “integrating the proposed mentee training” into her already busy and overbooked schedule. She knew she had to participate in orienting new mentees; however, she was wondering how her time spent would benefit her busy department.

2. Prepare lists of the important or relevant facts and statements in the situation.
 - The institution has a mentor program.
 - The design of the program included input from existing staff members—specifically through providing feedback in focus groups.
 - The primary person identified in the case—Frankie—participated in at least one focus group.
 - Frankie appears to be surprised by feelings that have come up upon announcement of the mentor chosen and by the roles and responsibilities identified in the announcement.
 - Frankie is questioning how the mentor program—but specifically the mentee—benefits her department—Outreach.
 - Frankie has questions about the mentor program and how it will integrate into her roles and responsibilities. Specifically, Frankie is seeking answers to how she will manage to train someone when she doesn’t have time and when mentor training doesn’t appear to add value or opportunities to her department.

WHAT'S IN A NAME

3. List the characters or “players” in the situation, and—if possible—list them in relevant categories such as those directly involved, those indirectly involved, and those affected by the situation. Other categories or descriptors for characters can be: decision makers, primary vs. secondary characters in the case, and so on.
 - Frankie—primary
 - The mentee—primary
 - The person who will answer Frankie’s questions—primary
 - The head of the mentor program—primary
 - Frankie’s boss—secondary
 - Other future mentees—secondary
 - Other future mentors (short and long term in the process)—secondary
 - Others in the organization with similar issues regarding mentees and mentor processes—secondary

4. Review the underlined, marked case elements and list the primary or most important issues, elements, and problems in the case/situation.
 - **Issue/Problem:** Frankie is questioning how the mentor program, and specifically the mentee, benefits her department—Outreach.
 - **Fact:** The primary person identified in the case—Frankie—participated in at least one focus group *but . . .*
 - Frankie appears to be surprised by feelings that have come up upon announcement of the mentor chosen and by the roles and responsibilities identified in the announcement.
 - **Issue/Problem:** Frankie has questions about the mentor program and how it will integrate into her roles and responsibilities. Specifically, Frankie is seeking answers to how she will manage to train someone when she doesn’t have time and when mentor training doesn’t appear to add to her department.

5. Prioritize the most important and least important issues or problems in the situation. At this point in case review, the

time lines indicated by the case should be taken into consideration; however, other aspects of the case may contribute significantly toward prioritizing case elements. Other ways to prioritize could include now vs. later; immediate vs. can wait, and so on.

Can Wait

“Can wait” elements are important, but should be considered at a different time. For example, the manager of the mentor program should question general program information distributed to the managers as well as information and discussions in the focus groups as this content should introduce and explain *how* managers are involved and what the benefits are to their departments immediately. No one should have left a focus group confused and while the confusion should be handled first (see the “Immediate” list), future steps should include a review of general and focus group content.

- The institution has a mentor program.
- The design of the program included input from existing staff members—specifically through providing feedback in focus groups.
- The primary person identified in the case—Frankie—participated in at least one focus group.
- Frankie appears to be surprised by feelings that have come up upon announcement of the mentor chosen and by the roles and responsibilities identified in the announcement.

Immediate

- Frankie is questioning how the mentor program—but specifically the mentee—benefits her department—Outreach.
- Frankie has questions about the mentor program and how it will integrate into her roles and responsibilities. Specifically, Frankie is seeking answers to how she will manage to train someone when she doesn’t have time and when mentor training doesn’t appear to add value or opportunities to her department.

6. After review and discussion of the prioritized situation content, and given the players, elements of the organization, list "what can be done."
 - Frankie needs to ask for clarification on the mentor program and on mentee roles and responsibilities.
 - The administration (and those who manage the mentor program) needs to clarify and communicate the value of the mentor program for Frankie's department.
 - Frankie needs to find time to work with the mentee in accordance with the mentor program.

7. After review and discussion of the prioritized situation content, and given the players, elements of the organization, and so on . . . list "what can't be done."
 - Frankie's boss can't ignore Frankie's concerns and questions.
 - The administration (or those who manage the mentor program) can't ignore Frankie's concerns and questions.
 - The administration must not ignore potential problems of a poorly articulated or explained mentor program.
 - Choose the best one or two solutions given what data is available, and what is missing.
 - Frankie's boss should answer the questions for Frankie and clarify benefits to Frankie's satisfaction but also inform the mentor program manager (and the administration) that program elements may be unclear.
 - Because the program is not clear to someone who participated in the design of the program, mentor program management must assess communication surrounding the program and—if appropriate—revise, but certainly redistribute, program information.
 - Even though it isn't clear whether or not others in the organization are confused about the mentor program, program processes and values as well as *all* employee roles and responsibilities in the program must be clearly communicated.

CHAPTER ONE

8. Speculate on the outcome(s) and impact if the solutions are used and put into effect.
 - Frankie's mentee has a successful mentee experience with Frankie and in Frankie's department.
 - Frankie and Frankie's department have a successful mentor and mentee experience.
 - The mentor program is revised—and in particular, the program's value for the entire organization is clearly communicated throughout the organization.

9. Build in an evaluation mechanism.
 - Although the value of a program for a mentee should be easy to assess, evaluating perception and value for the organization as a whole is challenging. Mentor program managers must assess not only mentee success but also conduct assessments of the organization before, during, and after mentor activities. Assessment of perception and value is achieved through evaluating focus groups and overall participant perceptions through pre- and post-focus group interviews with potential mentors; pre, during, and post surveys of departmental employee and mentee perceptions; department head perceptions; mentee work products (such as quality, timeliness, outcomes met); and overall employee attitudes toward the program.

INDEX

A

- abstract, 60
- academic libraries, 37
- acclaim, 84
- acculturation process, 47
- achievement, 90
- activities
 - inappropriate direction/activities, 81–82
 - for mentorship program, articulation of, 53
 - in orientation, 70
 - orientation about mentee/mentor activities, 74
- Advising/Advisor, 10
- advisory council, 105–106
- advisory council chair, 109
- Air National Guard USA, 16
- all-online mentoring
 - challenges of, 63–64
 - in-person mentoring *vs.*, 63
 - Mentor Question #1 scenario, 54–55
 - web-based tools for mentorship program, 62–63
- American Library Association: ALA Connect, 12, 17
- appendixes
 - application forms, 121–126
 - checklists, 113–117
 - content guidelines, 97–100
 - correspondence, 118–120
 - description of, 97
 - evaluation content, 128–129
 - goals/outcomes, 110–112
 - job descriptions, 104–112
 - for mentorship plan, 61
 - Mentorship Program Planning, 101–103
 - recommendation forms, 127
- Applicant Recommendation Form, 127
- application forms
 - Mentee Application, 124–126
 - Mentor Application, 121–123
- Arizona National Guard, 16
- assessment, 87
 - See also* measurement/evaluation
- associations
 - benefits of mentoring for, 52
 - design of mentorship program, 53
 - mentoring in, 36, 41–43
 - planning teams in, 58
- audience
 - for content about mentorship program, 59
 - story in mentorship plan tied to, 60–61
- awareness education, 65

B

- benefits
 - of mentoring, identification of, 45–46
 - of mentoring for mentee, 50–51
 - of mentoring for mentor, 50

INDEX

- benefits (*cont.*)
 - for non-participants, 51–52
 - organizational benefits/values of mentoring, 46–49
 - unclear, 79
- best practices
 - benefits of mentoring for mentee, 51
 - for design of mentorship program, 56–57
 - for mentorship program
 - measurement/evaluation, 87–95
- big picture issues, 77–78
- blogs, 68, 93
- board members, 27–33
- branding, 61, 95
- Buddy Program, 9
- bureaucracies, mentorship programs
 - in, 37–38
- “But What About Me?” case method, 3–8
- C**
- “can wait” elements, 6
- career development
 - as benefit of mentoring, 47, 48
 - emerging careers and content, mentorship for, 40
- case method
 - “But What About Me?,” 3–8
 - Mentor case method, 75–76
 - “Stepping Up,” 27–33
- checklists
 - general guidelines for content, 97–100
 - Mentee Activities Checklist, 116–117
 - Mentor Activities Checklist, 115–116
 - Program Checklist, 113–115
- Chicago Computer Society, 16
- closure, 79
- cloud products, 68
- clubs, mentoring in, 41–43
- Clutterbuck, David, 12, 16
- Coaching Program, 9
- Collin, Audrey, 11–12
- co-mentoring
 - in associations, 42
 - definition of, 13
- committee/team leadership
 - availability, 90
- communication
 - in all-online mentorship program, 63
 - clear communications
 - organization-wide, 73–74
 - of confidentiality requirements, 81–82
 - in handbook, 72
 - lack of, 78
 - for mentorship education and training, 68
 - plan for mentorship program, 56
 - post-mentorship, 75–76
- competencies, 47
- confidentiality, 81–82
- contacts
 - lack of mentor/mentee contact, 82
 - mentorship networking benefit, 48
 - structure for mentor/mentee contacts, 94
 - virtual mentoring and, 63
- content
 - of final mentorship plan, 60
 - guidelines for appendixes, 97–100
 - of handbook, 71–72
 - integration in design of mentorship program, 56–57
 - of mentorship curriculum, 66–67
 - progressive accessing of, 94
- Conway, Christopher, 16
- coordinator
 - designation of, 58
 - job description, 109
- corporate settings, mentorship programs in, 37
- correspondence, 118–120
- cost, 45–46
- counseling, for personal issues, 81
- Counselor/Counseling, 10
- course management software, 68
- cover, mentorship plan, 61
- curriculum
 - See* mentorship curriculum

INDEX

D

- data gathering
 - evaluation mechanisms, choice of, 92–93
 - for measurement/evaluation, 87–88
 - on mentoring, 99–100
 - in orientation, 70
 - program recommendations from data, 93–95
 - departmental goals/outcomes, 90
 - design, of forms, 98–99
 - design/implementation of mentorship programs
 - abstract/executive summary, 60
 - all-online mentorship programs, 63–64
 - appendixes, 61
 - audience for content about mentorship program, 59
 - badly designed program, 78
 - best practice relationships, 56–57
 - cover, 61
 - distribution of plan, 60, 62
 - final plan, 60
 - forms for production, 59
 - hybrid mentorship program, 64
 - implementation of initiative, 58
 - Mentor Questions scenario, 54–56
 - mentorship planning, 57–58
 - story of mentorship program, 60–61
 - structure/documentation for, 53–54
 - time lines in plan, 59
 - virtual/digital/hybrid mentorship programs, 62–63
 - visuals, 61–62
 - writing style for mentorship program plan, 59
 - digital mentoring
 - in associations, 42
 - challenges of, 63–64
 - definition of, 13
 - expanded numbers of opportunities for, 49
 - goals of, 40–41
 - Mentor Question #1 scenario, 54–55
 - in-person mentoring vs., 63
 - web-based tools for mentorship program, 62–63
 - direction, 81–82
 - discussions, 48
 - disinterest, 83
 - distribution, of mentorship plan, 60, 62
 - diversity, 38
 - documentation
 - for design of mentorship program, 53–54
 - Mentor Question #2 scenario, 55–56
 - See also* plan, mentorship
 - duos, 11
- ### E
- .edu, 35
 - education
 - benefits/values of mentoring, 47–48, 49
 - matching of mentee with mentor, 25
 - mentoring terminology and, 2
 - mentorship programs in higher education, 36–37
 - teaching/learning education for mentors/mentees, 56
 - education and training, mentorship clear communications
 - organization-wide, 73–74
 - design begins with people, 65
 - elements of mentorship curriculum, 66–70
 - handbook/associated content, 71–72, 73
 - Mentee Question scenario, 72–73
 - e-mail, 68
 - e-mentoring
 - challenges of, 63–64
 - definition of, 13
 - in-person mentoring *vs.*, 63

INDEX

- employees
 - benefits of mentoring for non-participants, 51–52
 - clear communications
 - organization-wide, 73–74
 - measurement/evaluation of mentorship program, 89, 90
 - Mentee Question scenario, 72–73
 - mentorship program problems and, 85–86
 - entry-level professionals, 91
 - environmental scan, 101
 - evaluation
 - in “But What About Me?” case method, 8
 - content, 128–129
 - of mentorship program, lack of, 78
 - for reduction of mentor/mentee issues, 80
 - See also* measurement/evaluation
 - events, in mentorship curriculum, 67
 - executive summary, 60
 - expectations
 - for mentees, 85
 - of mentors/mentees, unclear, 79
 - for post-mentorship activities, 76
 - experience, 25
- F**
- facilitated mentoring, 12–13
 - faculty, 36–37
 - Faure, Suzanne, 11
 - favoritism, 14–15
 - feedback, 50, 51
 - first day orientation, 69
 - first month orientation, 69–70
 - first week orientation, 69, 70
 - flash mentoring, 12
 - focus groups, 92–93
 - formal mentoring
 - in association mentoring, 42
 - definition of, 12
 - format, for mentorship plan, 59
 - forms
 - Applicant Recommendation Form, 127
 - Evaluation Content Form, 128–129
 - guidelines for content, 97–100
 - Mentee Application Form, 124–126
 - Mentor Application Form, 121–123
 - online forms, design of, 99
 - friendship, 19, 23
- G**
- gender issues, 39
 - Gibb, Stephen, 16
 - Gibbons, Andrew, 12, 16
 - goals
 - applying to mentorship program, 90
 - in design of mentorship program, 56, 57
 - in final mentorship plan, 60
 - incorrect program goals, 79
 - measurement/evaluation of mentorship program, 88–89
 - for mentees, 85
 - of mentoring, development of, 102
 - of mentorship programs, 38–41, 91, 110–112
 - not integrated into mentorship program, 78
 - in orientation, 70
 - for pilot mentoring program, 46
 - government, mentorship programs in, 37–38
 - group mentoring
 - definition of, 13
 - mentoring in associations, clubs, membership groups, 41–43
- H**
- handbook
 - content drawn from outside organization, 71
 - content specific to organization, 71–72
 - Mentee Question scenario, 72–73
 - for reduction of mentor/mentee issues, 80
 - happiness, 82
 - health sciences environments, mentorship programs in, 35–36
 - higher education, mentorship programs in, 36–37

INDEX

- human resources
 - applying to mentorship program, 90
 - mentorship program and, 101
- hybrid mentoring
 - definition of, 13
 - description of, 64
 - Mentor Question #1 scenario, 54–55
 - mentoring in associations, clubs, membership groups, 42, 43
 - web-based tools for mentorship program, 62–63
- I**
- immediate elements, 6–7
- impact awareness education, 65
- inappropriate direction/activities, 81–82
- informal mentoring
 - in association mentoring, 42
 - definition of, 12
- in-person infrastructures, 56–57
- in-person mentoring
 - all-online mentoring *vs.*, 63
 - hybrid mentorship program, 64
- interest, of mentors, 83
- internships, 35
- interviews, 92
- isolation/solitary populations, 40
- issues/problems, 30–31
 - See also* mentor/mentee issues
- J**
- job descriptions
 - advisory council, 105–106
 - mentee, 25–26, 108
 - mentor, 20–22, 107–108
 - mentor program participant supervisor, 106–107
 - mentorship program coordinator/process owner, 104–105
 - mentorship program for the x association, 109
- job satisfaction surveys, 89
- journals, 93
- K**
- knowledge
 - know-it-all mentor, 83
 - tests for mentorship program evaluation, 92
 - transfer, as benefit of mentoring, 47
- L**
- leadership
 - association mentorship programs for, 36
 - as benefit of mentoring, 49
 - committee/team leadership availability, 90
 - goal of mentorship program, 38
 - mentor terminology and, 1–2
 - mentorship program goals, measurement of, 91
 - opportunities with mentoring, 17
 - search terms for mentoring in other organizations, 35
 - styles of mentors, 21
- leadership program, 11, 35
- legal profession, 39
- library associations, mentorship programs of, 36
- long-term mentoring, 12
- M**
- management
 - mentee targeted for future management role, 23
 - styles of mentor, 21
- manager
 - Mentor Question #1 scenario, 54–55
 - Mentor Question #2 scenario, 55–56
 - mentorship program goals, measurement of, 91
- matching
 - bad mentor/mentee match, 85
 - in handbook, 72
 - mentor with mentee, 20–21, 25–26
 - mentor/mentee issues and, 78–79
 - process for, 56
 - technological expertise, 94

INDEX

- matching (*cont.*)
 - use of term, 10–11
- measurement/evaluation
 - choice of mechanisms that fit needs, 92–93
 - data gathering for, 87–88
 - existing measurements, applying to mentorship program, 89–90
 - measures specific to mentorship, 91
 - mentor program goals/outcomes and, 110–112
 - program recommendations from data, 93–95
 - tips for, 88–89
- media streaming, 68
- medical settings, mentorship programs in, 35–36
- meetings, 68
- membership groups, mentoring in, 41–43
- mentee
 - bad mentees, 85–86
 - benefits of mentoring for, 50–51
 - choice of term, 15
 - correspondence, 120
 - design of mentorship program for positive relationships, 56–64
 - favorites scenario, 14–15
 - goals/outcomes of, 112
 - issues/problems of, 77–86
 - job description with roles/responsibilities, 25–26
 - matching with mentor, 20–21
 - Mentor case method, 75–76
 - Mentor Question scenario, 77
 - mentoring process, opportunities with, 17–18
 - names for mentor/mentee relationships, 9–11
 - position description, 108
 - program evaluation data, recommendations from, 93–95
 - roles/responsibilities of in handbook, 71
 - search terms for mentoring in other organizations, 35
 - what mentee is or does, 22–23
 - what mentee isn't/doesn't do, 23–25
- Mentee Activities Checklist, 116–117
- Mentee Application Form, 124–126
- Mentee Notification Letter, 120
- Mentee Question scenario, 72–73
- mentor
 - bad mentors, 82–84
 - benefits of mentoring for, 50
 - characteristics of, 18–19
 - choice of term, 15
 - correspondence, 118–119
 - definition of, 15–17
 - design of mentorship program for positive relationships, 56–64
 - goals/outcomes of, 111–112
 - issues/problems of, 77–86
 - job description with roles/responsibilities, 20–22
 - Mentor case method, 75–76
 - mentoring process, opportunities with, 17–18
 - names for mentor/mentee relationships, 9–11
 - position description, 107–108
 - proactive/reactive types of, 21
 - program evaluation data, recommendations from, 93–95
 - roles/responsibilities of in handbook, 71
 - what mentor isn't/doesn't do, 19–20
- Mentor Activities Checklist, 115–116
- Mentor Application Form, 121–123
- Mentor case method, 75–76
- Mentor Nomination/Request Letter, 118–119
- mentor pilot program, 45–46
- mentor program participant supervisor, 106–107
- Mentor Question #1 scenario, 54–55
- Mentor Question #2 scenario, 55–56
- Mentor Question scenario, 77
- mentoring
 - background information on, 1–3
 - benefits of, xi–xii
 - “But What About Me?” case method, 3–8

INDEX

- category terminology, 12–13
- definitions of, 11–12
- existing measurements, applying to, 89–90
- favorites scenario, 14–15
- measurement/evaluation measures specific to, 91
- mentee, what mentee is or does, 22–23
- mentee, what mentee isn't/doesn't do, 23–25
- mentee job description, 25–26
- mentor, definition of, 15–17
- mentor, what mentor isn't/doesn't do, 19–20
- mentor job description, 20–22
- mentors, characteristics of, 18–19
- names for mentor/mentee relationships, 9–11
- process, opportunities with, 17–18
- terminology, choice of, 15
- use of, knowledge about, vii–viii
- mentoring, breadth of
 - goals of mentorship programs, 38–41
 - mentoring in associations, clubs, membership groups, 41–43
 - mentoring in other organizations, 34–35
 - organizations that have significant mentorship programs, 35–38
 - “Stepping Up” case method, 27–33
- mentoring, value/benefits of
 - benefits for mentee, 50–51
 - benefits for mentor, 50
 - benefits for non-participants, 51–52
 - identification of, 45–46
 - list of, 47–49
- Mentoring A to Z* (Todaro)
 - introduction to, xi–xii
 - for knowledge about mentoring, vii–viii
- mentoring agreement, 70
- mentor/mentee issues
 - bad mentees, 85–86
 - bad mentors, 82–84
 - big picture issues, 77–78
 - inappropriate direction/activities, 81–82
- Mentor case method, 75–76
- Mentor Question scenario, 77
- recommendations for reduction of, 79–80
- specific issues, 78–79
- mentorship curriculum
 - communication, modes/methods of, 68
 - confusion in, 78
 - content, 66–67
 - events, 67
 - orientation, 68–70
- mentorship education and training
 - See* education and training, mentorship
- mentorship plan
 - See* plan, mentorship
- mentorship program
 - designing for positive relationships, 56–64
 - evaluation mechanisms, choice of, 92–93
 - existing measurements, applying to, 89–90
 - goals, measurement of, 91
 - goals/outcomes, 110–112
 - measurement/evaluation tips, 88–89
 - Mentor Questions scenario, 54–56
 - pitfalls of, 77–86
 - planning, 101–103
 - program evaluation data, recommendations from, 93–95
 - structure/documentation for design of, 53–54
 - value factor in, 26
- mentorship program coordinator, 104–105
- mentorship program for the x association, 109
- Minnesota Library Association, 17
- minute mentoring
 - definition of, 12
 - use of term, 10
- mission
 - in final mentorship plan, 60

INDEX

- mission (*cont.*)
 - not integrated into mentorship program, 78
 - in orientation, 70
 - statement in mentorship program planning, 102
- modes and methods styles, 22
- N**
- names, 61
 - See also* terminology
- need, 88
- .net, 35
- networking, 48
- non-participants, benefits of mentoring for, 51–52
- O**
- onboarding, 47
- online calendars, 68
- online content
 - format for mentorship plan, 59
 - guides for mentorship education and training communication, 68
 - online forms, design of, 99
- online mentor programs, 2
- online pictures, 68
- online survey environments, 68
- .org, 35
- organization
 - benefits/values of mentoring, 46–49
 - handbook content specific to organization, 71–72
 - mentoring in other organizations, 34–35
 - organizational culture assessments, 89
 - organizational development, 47
 - organizational goals/outcomes, 90
 - with significant mentorship programs, 35–38
- orientation
 - as benefit of mentoring, 47
 - clear communications
 - organization-wide, 73–74
 - first day, 69
 - first month, 69–70
 - first week, 69
 - lack of, as common pitfall, 79
 - mentees' need for, 72–73
 - purpose of, 68–69
- outcomes
 - applying to mentorship program, 90
 - in “But What About Me?” case method, 8
 - in design of mentorship program, 57
 - in final mentorship plan, 60
 - incorrect program outcomes, 79
 - measurement/evaluation of mentorship program, 88
 - of mentor programs, 110–112
 - of mentoring, development of, 102
 - in orientation, 70
 - outlining in design of mentorship program, 56
 - in “Stepping Up” case method, 32, 33
- outside the box mentors, 84
- P**
- pairs, 11
- peer mentoring, 13
- performance evaluation, 19, 24
- personal relationships, 81
- pictures, online, 68
- plan, mentorship
 - abstract/executive summary in, 60
 - appendixes for, 61
 - content for, 60
 - cover for, 61
 - distribution of, 60, 62
 - format for, 59
 - presentation of, 60
 - purpose of, 58
 - time lines in, 59
 - visuals for, 61–62
 - writing style for, 59
- planning
 - best practices for design of mentorship program, 56–57
 - coordination of process of planning, 57–58
 - implementation group, 58

INDEX

- mentorship program planning, 101–103
 - proactivity, of mentor, 21
 - process owner
 - decision about, 101
 - designation of, 58
 - job description, 104–105, 109
 - product, of mentorship, 93
 - professional development, 91
 - professionalism, 47
 - professionals in target populations, 40
 - Program Checklist, 113–115
 - project work spaces, 68
 - Prometheus Foundation, 16
 - promotion, 19
 - protégé, 35
- Q**
- questionnaires, 92
- R**
- reactivity, of mentor, 21
 - recommendation
 - forms, 127
 - Mentor case method, 75–76
 - references, 83, 84
 - relationships
 - content in handbook about, 71, 72
 - designing mentorship program for positive, 56–64
 - inappropriate direction/activities, 81–82
 - matching of relationship goals, 22
 - Mentor Question scenario, 77
 - in mentoring definitions, 11–12
 - monitoring throughout program, 80
 - names for mentor/mentee relationships, 9–11
 - program evaluation data, recommendations from, 93–95
 - See also* mentor/mentee issues
 - Resident Expert, 10
 - Resource Coach, 10
 - resources, 34–35
 - responsibilities
 - handbook content specific to organization, 71
 - in job descriptions, 104–109
 - mentee job description, 25–26
 - Mentee Question scenario, 72–73
 - mentor job description, 20–22
 - mentoring opportunities for experiencing, 17
 - of mentor/mentee, communication about, 74
 - review of in orientation, 70
 - retention, 47, 49
 - returns
 - of mentoring program, identification of, 45–46
 - organizational benefits/values of mentoring, 46–49
 - reverse mentoring, 13
 - review, schedule for forms, 98
 - roles
 - benefits of mentoring for non-participants, 52
 - handbook content specific to organization, 71
 - identification of, 56
 - in job descriptions, 104–109
 - mentee job description, 25–26
 - Mentee Question scenario, 72–73
 - mentor job description, 20–22
 - of mentoring, development of, 102
 - mentoring opportunities for experiencing, 17
 - of mentor/mentee, communication about, 74
 - review of in orientation, 70
- S**
- scenarios
 - favorites, 14–15
 - Mentee Question, 72–73
 - Mentor Questions, 54–56, 77
 - search terms, 34–35
 - self-awareness, 51
 - self-confidence, 83
 - self-serving mentors, 83–84
 - short-term mentoring
 - in associations, 42
 - definition of, 12
 - expanded numbers of activities for, 49

INDEX

- situational mentoring, 12
 - specialty areas, 48
 - staff development surveys, 89
 - staff time, 45–46
 - “Stepping Up” case method, 27–33
 - story, in mentorship plan, 60–61
 - strategic plan, 102–103
 - structure
 - for design of mentorship program, 53–54
 - for reduction of mentor/mentee issues, 80
 - styles, of mentors, 21–22
 - success
 - measurement/evaluation of mentorship program, 88
 - of mentee, 24
 - supervisor, mentor program participant, 106–107
 - supervisory mentoring, 13
 - support
 - lack of support for mentorship, 79
 - for mentee from mentor, 23–24
 - for mentorship program, 54
 - surveys
 - employee, applying to mentorship program, 89
 - for mentorship program evaluation, 92
 - SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats), 101
- T**
- team leader, 109
 - team mentoring
 - definition of, 12–13
 - mentoring in associations, clubs, membership groups, 43
 - templates, guidelines for content, 97–100
 - terminology
 - background information on mentorship, 1–3
 - choice of, 15
 - importance of, 1
 - of mentoring categories, 12–13
 - mentoring definitions, 11–12
 - names for mentor/mentee relationships, 9–11
 - tests, 92
 - time
 - “But What About Me?” case method, 3–8
 - mentoring relationships driven by, 2
 - staff time as cost of mentoring program, 45–46
 - time-saving with mentoring, 48
 - time line
 - communication about, 74
 - communication of to employees, 85, 86
 - in handbook, 72
 - inadequate or unrealistic, 78
 - mentor/mentee relationship time lines, 94
 - in mentorship plan, 59
 - for mentorship program, 56
 - online calendars for posting, 68
 - training
 - See* education and training, mentorship
 - Turner, Mike, 12
- U**
- unhappiness, 82
- V**
- values
 - factor in mentorship program, 26
 - of mentoring, development of, 102
 - of mentoring, identification of, 45–46
 - mentorship program planning and, 101
 - not integrated into mentorship program, 78
 - organizational benefits/values of mentoring, 46–49
 - virtual mentoring
 - in associations, 42
 - challenges of, 63–64
 - definition of, 13
 - goals of, 40–41

INDEX

- Mentor Question #1 scenario,
 - 54–55
 - opportunities for, 49
 - in-person mentoring *vs.*, 63
 - web-based tools for mentorship program, 62–63
 - vision
 - in final mentorship plan, 60
 - not integrated into mentorship program, 78
 - in orientation, 70
 - statement in mentorship program planning, 102
 - visuals, for mentorship plan, 61–62
- W**
- web page packages, 68
 - webinars, 68
 - WebJunction Illinois, 17
 - wikis, 68
 - writing style, 59, 98